

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES OF CASES.

Conflicting Jurisdiction.—An action for personal injuries caused by the collision of the vessels Kaiser Wilhelm der Grosse and the Orinoco, reported in 175 Federal Reporter, 215, under the title of the two vessels above named, involves a rather novel question of jurisdiction. The Kaiser Wilhelm is a German vessel; the Orinoco a British vessel; the libelant a resident of the United States, but a citizen of Aus-The collision occurred within a marine league of the French coast, and presumably within French water, if the same law relating to maritime jurisdiction is in force there as here. The action was brought in the District Court of the United States, which overruled all objections to jurisdiction and took cognizance of the case on its merits. In addition to the jurisdictional problem, an interesting question involved was the effect to be given to a decision of the English High Court of Justice, holding the Kaiser Wilhelm solely responsible for the collision. The American court held that while doubtless this decree would not affect the right of libelant to establish her claim against either vessel, yet, as between the vessels themselves, it should be held res judicata, and, as libelant was indifferent as to which one recovery should be against, the Orinoco would be excused in accordance with the ruling of the English court.

Right of Infant to Discharge in Bankruptcy.—Henry Walrath, an infant, filed a voluntary petition in bankruptcy. One Parsnow appeared as a creditor, and filed a claim, which was duly proved and allowed. There were no assets and no other claims. On the application of the bankrupt for a discharge, in Re Walrath, 175 Federal Reporter, 243, Parsnow objected on the ground that under the bankruptcy act no one was entitled to a discharge except one who "owes debts," and that, as an infant might repudiate his liabilities on becoming of age, it could not be said before that time that a debt was really "owed" by him. The court rejected this contention, however, by saying that the claim in this instance was on a judgment in an action for negligence and would constitute a debt, notwithstanding the infancy of the bankrupt; and that as Parsnow had filed his claim, and had it allowed as a debt in the bankruptcy proceeding, he could not now say that it was not a debt, and prevent a discharge.

Animal Feræ Nature—Raccoon—Liability of Owner for Damages Done by.—Andrew v. Kilgour. Metcalfe, J. A raccoon is an animal feræ naturæ and a person who keeps one in a town is liable in damages for any injury inflicted by it on a neighbour upon escaping from captivity although the animal has been kept in the defendant's house for a long time, and was supposed to have been tamed.

Hale's Pleas of the Crown, vol. 1, p. 430, and Filburn v. People's Palace, etc., L. R. 25 Q. B. D. 258, followed.—Canada Law Journal.